From reversing to hemispherical dynamos

Basile Gallet and François Pétrélis

Laboratoire de Physique Statistique de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure, UMR CNRS 8550, 24 Rue Lhomond, 75231 Paris Cedex 5, France

(Received 1 May 2009; published 28 September 2009)

We show that hemispherical dynamos can result from weak equatorial symmetry breaking of the flow in the interior of planets and stars. Using a model of spherical dynamo, we observe that the interaction between a dipolar and a quadrupolar mode can localize the magnetic field in only one hemisphere when the equatorial symmetry is broken. This process is shown to be related to the one that is responsible for reversals of the magnetic field. These seemingly very different behaviors are thus understood in a unified framework.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.80.035302

PACS number(s): 47.65.-d, 52.65.Kj, 91.25.Cw

Planets and stars seem to be able to generate hemispherical dynamos. During the Maunder minimum, the number of spots at the Sun's surface decreased and the sunspots were mostly localized in the southern hemisphere [1]. It is believed that the magnetic field was then also dominant in this hemisphere [2]. Although Mars does not act as a dynamo anymore, it has been suggested that it did in the past and created a hemispherical magnetic field [3]. In addition to these observations, direct numerical simulations have clearly identified hemispherical dynamos [4]. Another surprising behavior is that of the Earth's magnetic field which reverses randomly in time. Reversals have been observed in various numerical simulations [5] and in a laboratory experiment [6]. Among the numerical studies, events have also been reported where the magnetic field is much larger in one hemisphere [7]. Reversing and hemispherically localized magnetic fields are two seemingly very different features of astrophysical, numerical, and experimental dynamos.

We explain these two different behaviors in a unified framework: they both result from the breaking of the equatorial symmetry of the flow. As direct numerical simulations have already exhibited the two behaviors at stake, we focus on a simple kinematic α^2 dynamo model in which equatorial symmetry can be broken. The obtained results are then generalized in the framework of dynamical systems theory: when the equatorial symmetry is weakly broken, reversals or hemispherical localization are shown to be two generic behaviors of any dynamo which two most unstable modes are of dipolar and quadrupolar symmetries.

We consider a sphere of radius *R* that contains a fluid of electrical conductivity σ and magnetic permeability equals to that of vacuum μ_0 . The outside medium is vacuum. The inductive processes of the flow are modeled by α effects localized on two shells of vanishingly small radial thickness located at radius χR and ξR . On the outer shell, an α effect converts the poloidal magnetic field into toroidal field. This latter is converted back into poloidal field on the inner shell. We thus write the α tensor in spherical coordinates (r, θ, ϕ) as

$$\alpha_{ij} = \alpha_{\theta\theta} \delta(r - \xi R) \,\delta_{i\theta} \delta_{j\theta} + \alpha_{\phi\phi} \delta(r - \chi R) \,\delta_{i\phi} \delta_{j\phi}. \tag{1}$$

The two scalars $\alpha_{\theta\theta}$ and $\alpha_{\phi\phi}$ are supposed to depend on θ only and are written

$$\alpha_{\phi\phi} = a_1 + b_1 \cos(\theta) + c_1 \cos(2\theta) + d_1 \cos(3\theta),$$

$$\alpha_{\theta\theta} = a_2 + b_2 \cos(\theta) + c_2 \cos(2\theta) + d_2 \cos(3\theta).$$
(2)

Odd dependences in latitude model an equatorially symmetric flow whereas even ones break this symmetry. We solve the induction equation for this α^2 dynamo and look for axisymmetric eigenmodes $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})e^{\tilde{\rho}t}$ solutions of

$$\tilde{p}\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times (\alpha \mathbf{B}) + (\mu_0 \sigma)^{-1} \nabla^2 \mathbf{B}$$
(3)

for $r \le R$ and that match a potential field at r=R. Similar calculations were performed for $\alpha - \omega$ dynamos with equatorially symmetric effects only [8,9]. From now on, we use the dimensionless growth rate $p = \tilde{p}\mu_0\sigma R^2$ and α effects $Ra_1 = \mu_0\sigma a_1$, $Rb_1 = \mu_0\sigma b_1\cdots$ Moreover we set the position of the shells $\chi = 0.55$ and $\xi = 0.9$.

We first consider an equatorially symmetric configuration with $Rb_1 = Rb_2 = 20$, $Rd_2 = 25$, all other parameters being zero. Two modes are then linearly unstable. The most unstable eigenmode is of dipolar symmetry and is represented in Fig. 1 (top). Its growth rate is $p \approx 1.26$. For this set of parameters, a second mode is unstable and its growth rate is only slightly smaller $p \approx 1.21$. This mode is represented in Fig. 1 (bottom). It is even under equatorial reflection and is therefore of quadrupolar symmetry. The existence of two unstable modes depends on the values of the parameters. We observe in general that the dipolar and quadrupolar modes have similar growth rates when the α effects are larger close to the poles. Roughly speaking, both hemispheres generate a magnetic structure. These structures are far from each other and thus only weakly coupled: the situation with poloidal fields of same polarity, i.e., a dipole, does not strongly differ from a situation with opposite poloidal fields, i.e., a quadrupole. This argument, see for instance [10], explains why a dipole and a quadrupole have similar growth rates and are likely to be unstable for close values of the parameters.

Starting from this equatorially symmetric configuration, we now break equatorial symmetry by adding an α effect which has an even dependence on latitude. A first class of equatorial symmetry breaking leads to a decrease in the difference between the growth rates of the two modes, Δp . To illustrate this regime, we consider $Rc_1 = -Rc_2 = P$, where Pmeasures the symmetry breaking and $Ra_1 = Ra_2 = 0$. When Pincreases, Δp decreases but both modes remain stationary until a bifurcation occurs at $P_c = 0.78$ where the eigenvalues of the two most unstable modes are equal. For P slightly larger than P_c , they are complex conjugates. This corre-



FIG. 1. (Color online) Unstable magnetic field modes when the flow properties are equatorially symmetric (see parameters in the text). The dashed circles are the two shells where the α effects are located. On the right-hand side of the revolution axis (dotted), the lines of poloidal field are drawn. Lines of constant toroidal fields are shown on the left hand side. Top (respectively, bottom): mode of dipolar (respectively, quadrupolar) symmetry.

sponds to an exponentially growing oscillatory magnetic field. A snapshot of the time evolution of the field during half a period is shown in Fig. 2 for P=1. The dipole reverses and during a reversal, a quadrupolar structure is observed. The main effect of nonlinearities is to saturate the amplitude of the magnetic field (see discussion below): we have removed the exponential growth in this representation. We point out that the transition from stationary to oscillatory dynamo occurs for small symmetry breaking. For P=1, the symmetry breaking effects (Rc_1 and Rc_2) are of the order of 5% of the equatorially symmetric terms.

It has already been observed that oscillatory dynamos can be obtained from stationary modes when parameters are changed. Numerical studies of $\alpha - \omega$ dynamos display transitions from stationary to oscillatory decaying eigenmodes that most likely result from an interaction between two modes [11]. Competition between dipolar modes only has been

PHYSICAL REVIEW E 80, 035302(R) (2009)

studied for α^2 dynamos [12]: for particular forms of α effect, i.e., diagonal and dependent on *r* only, oscillatory modes can be observed. Here, we have shown that very weak equatorial symmetry breaking is sufficient to couple a dipolar and a quadrupolar mode so that a time periodic regime is obtained. When nonlinear terms are taken into account, this transition occurs through a saddle-node bifurcation and below the onset of bifurcation, turbulent fluctuations of the flow can trigger random reversals [13]. Such time-dependent fluctuations are not taken into account in our calculation. Nevertheless, in the regime of random reversals, the snapshots obtained during a reversal would be similar to that displayed in Fig. 2: once a reversal has been initiated, its evolution is mainly deterministic and corresponds to half a period of the nonlinear oscillation obtained above the saddle-node bifurcation.

We have also identified other terms that break equatorial symmetry but do not lead to reversals: the two most unstable modes remain stationary and the difference between their growth rates Δp increases. These modes display a surprising spatial structure: the field is almost completely localized in only one hemisphere. This is illustrated with the set of parameters $Ra_1 = Ra_2 = -P$ and $Rc_1 = Rc_2 = 0$ and in Fig. 3 the most unstable mode is represented for P=0.2. Note that the second most unstable mode is localized in the other hemisphere. To quantify this localization, we compute E_n (respectively, E_s) the energy of the field measured at the surface of the northern (respectively, southern) hemisphere for a fixed radius r. We plot in Fig. 4 the relative energy in the northern hemisphere $f_{nh} = E_n / (E_n + E_s)$ as a function of *P*. For large *P*, the ratio f_{nh} saturates to a limit value f^{∞} . This value depends on r and tends to 1/2 when r becomes very large. Indeed, for large r/R, the field is dominated by the dipole for which f_{nh} =0.5. Nevertheless, we point out that even for r=2R, 83% of the energy is still localized in the southern hemisphere in the case shown in Fig. 3. This effect can thus be observed at the surface of planets and stars even if dynamo action takes place much deeper in the fluid core. At first sight, one might believe that the localization is a straightforward consequence of the α -effect being more intense in one hemisphere. We present here the simplest form of symmetry breaking but we have obtained the same behavior with different ones, such as $Ra_1 = -Ra_2 = -P$: the two α effects are enhanced in different hemispheres but hemispherical localization still occurs. Even more surprisingly, we point out that a very weak symmetry breaking has a drastic effect on the field localization. In Fig. 4, for $P \ge 0.05$ i.e., as soon as $Ra_1/Rb_1 = 0.25\%$, more than 95% of the energy is located in the southern hemisphere at r = R.

The fact that a symmetry breaking of a few percent leads to such a strong modification of the geometry of the eigenmode is a consequence of the interaction between two modes of instability. Indeed, hemispherical localization can be understood as a competition between a dipolar mode, $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{r})$ with amplitude d(t) and a quadrupolar mode $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{r})$ with amplitude q(t) from which the total magnetic field is determined, $\mathbf{B}=d(t)\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{r})+q(t)\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{r})$. The amplitude equation at linear order for A=d+iq is

$$\dot{A} = (\mu_r + i\mu_i)A + (\nu_r + i\nu_i)\overline{A}, \qquad (4)$$

where the coefficients μ_r , μ_i , ν_r , and ν_i are real. Searching for a phase equation, we write $A = r \exp(i\Theta)$ and obtain

FROM REVERSING TO HEMISPHERICAL DYNAMOS



FIG. 2. (Color online) Evolution of the magnetic field during a reversal obtained when the equatorial symmetry is weakly broken. From left to right and top to bottom, half a cycle is represented at time t=0 (arbitrary), t=T/10, 2T/10, 3T/10, 4.5T/10, and T/2, T being the period. The dipolar field evolves toward a quadrupole before a dipole of opposite polarity is recovered.

$$\hat{\Theta} = \mu_i + \nu_i \cos(2\Theta) - \nu_r \sin(2\Theta).$$
 (5)

When the flow is equatorially symmetric, $\mu_i = \nu_i = 0$ and ν_r measures the difference between the growth rates of the two modes. When equatorial symmetry is broken, μ_i and ν_i increase. If μ_i increases faster than ν_i , a regime of reversals is obtained [13].

Our results show that some terms that break equatorial symmetry do not lead to reversals, i.e., lead to ν_i increasing faster than μ_i . This is responsible for hemispherical localization of the field. Indeed, if ν_i is large compared to μ_i and ν_r ,



FIG. 3. (Color online) Most unstable magnetic mode for a symmetry breaking that leads to field localization (see parameters in the text).

the solutions of Eq. (5) remain stationary and are $\Theta \simeq \pi/4, 3\pi/4[\pi]$. These values correspond to a total field $\mathbf{B} \propto \mathbf{D} \pm \mathbf{Q}$. Its spatial structure is easily understood: in one hemisphere the amplitudes of the dipolar and quadrupolar modes are of same sign and the field is strong, whereas in the other hemisphere, the dipole and quadrupole are roughly opposite and their sum results in a field of very low intensity. We have highlighted the fact that, for hemispherical localization to occur, symmetry-breaking α effects do not need to be large. This traces back to the similar growth rates of the dipolar and quadrupolar modes. More precisely, the symmetry-breaking effects of order ν_i need only to be larger than the growth rate difference ν_r . Both terms can thus remain small.



FIG. 4. (Color online) Relative energy at the surface of the northern hemisphere $f_{nh}=E_n/(E_n+E_s)$ as a function of the intensity P of the α -effect that breaks the equatorial symmetry. The curves correspond to (thick) r=R, (dashed) r=2R, and (solid) r=5R for the two unstable eigenmodes, the lower curve corresponding to the most unstable eigenmode.

The role of nonlinear terms can be understood in this low dimensional approach. Terms in higher powers of A and \overline{A} have to be taken into account in Eq. (4). Their main effect is to saturate the field amplitude r. They also modify the coefficients of Eq. (5), but the qualitative features of reversals are the same as those displayed in Fig. 2. More precisely, non-linear terms would change the critical value P_c and the precise temporal dynamics of Θ . However, these two effects are of higher order in the field amplitude and remain small provided the modes are only slightly supercritical. This is also true for hemispherical dynamos.

Thanks to the low dimensional approach we have shown that the results from the α^2 model are generic and can be used to describe the dynamics observed in astrophysical, numerical, and experimental dynamos. Direct numerical simulations of convective dynamos have displayed hemispherically localized fields where "both quadrupolar and dipolar fields contribute nearly equal magnetic energy" even though "the convection flow has lost little of its equatorial symmetry in the presence of the hemispherical magnetic field" [4]. Numerical models of Mars have also obtained hemispherical dynamos [3]. Our results give a simple explanation for these localized fields: if the dipolar and quadrupolar modes have similar growth rates, even a very weak equatorial symmetry breaking is enough to localize the field. As far as reversals are concerned, a numerical study of the Von Karman sodium experiment displayed a transition from steady to oscillatory magnetic field resulting from the coupling between a dipolar mode and a quadrupolar mode [14].

Studies aimed at modeling the Maunder minimum considered an oscillatory dipole in competition with an oscillatory quadrupole with similar pulsations [15]: nonlinear coupling terms between these modes can generate hemispherically localized magnetic fields that remain time periodic. The pure dipolar state is still a solution but is unstable toward a mixed polarity state. Further above onset, chaotic behaviors have also been observed [15,16]. Our mechanism for localization also applies to this situation and does not require nonlinear effects. In contrast to the aforementioned scenario, it does not result from a secondary instability of a pure dipolar mode but from the modification of the dipolar solution induced by the breaking of the flow's equatorial symmetry.

We can speculate on the origin of the symmetry breaking. First the flows are turbulent and velocity fluctuations are expected to break equatorial symmetry. Second, the boundary conditions can be asymmetric: the pattern of thermal flux at the core mantle boundary has been shown to influence the frequency of reversals [17].

Our simplified model captures some widespread features of dynamos. Since our results are constrained by symmetry considerations, more realistic models should display the same behavior. In other words, all dynamos that have two unstable modes of dipolar and quadrupolar symmetries behave the following way. Breaking the flow's equatorial symmetry leads to two different regimes: a first class of symmetry breaking associated to large μ_i in Eq. (5) results in reversing and oscillating dynamos. A second class associated to large ν_i generates hemispherical dynamos. The fact that these apparently very different regimes result from the same flow feature is appealing and strengthens the importance of the competition between dipolar and quadrupolar modes. In particular, we expect that several additional observations of hemispherical magnetic fields will be reported in numerical, experimental, or astrophysical dynamos.

Out of the dynamo context, our Rapid Communication shows that the competition between two modes of different symmetries leads to rich behaviors. It is known that reversals can be observed in large scale flows generated over a turbulent background in thermal convection or in periodically driven flows [18]. We expect that a weak symmetry breaking of the forcing can also generate a localization of these large scale flows. This could strongly affect the mixing properties or the thermal transfer efficiency of these systems.

We thank S. Fauve for various discussions.

- J. C. Ribes and E. Nesme-Ribes, Astron. Astrophys. 276, 549 (1993).
- [2] J. H. Thomas and N. O. Weiss, *Sunspots and Starspots* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008).
- [3] S. Stanley et al., Science **321**, 1822 (2008).
- [4] E. Grote and F. H. Busse, Phys. Rev. E 62, 4457 (2000).
- [5] See for instance, P. H. Roberts and G. A. Glatzmaier, Rev. Mod. Phys. 72, 1081 (2000).
- [6] M. Berhanu et al., EPL 77, 59001 (2007).
- [7] G. A. Glatzmaier and P. H. Roberts, Nature (London) 377, 203 (1995).
- [8] W. Deinzler and M. Stix, Astron. Astrophys. 12, 111 (1971).
- [9] D. Schmitt, Astron. Astrophys. 174, 281 (1987).
- [10] H. K. Moffatt, Magnetic Field Generation in Electrically Conducting Fluids (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1978).

- [11] P. H. Roberts, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London, Ser. A 272, 663 (1972), see for instance, Fig. 1 p. 674.
- [12] F. Stefani and G. Gerbeth, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 184506 (2005).
- [13] F. Pétrélis and S. Fauve, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 20, 494203 (2008); F. Pétrélis, S. Fauve, E. Dormy, and J. P. Valet, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 144503 (2009).
- [14] C. J. P. Gissinger, EPL 87, 39002 (2009); C. Gissinger et al., e-print arXiv:0904.3343.
- [15] E. Knobloch and A. S. Landsberg, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 278, 294 (1996).
- [16] J. M. Brooke et al., Astron. Astrophys. 332, 339 (1998).
- [17] G. A. Glatzmaier et al., Nature (London) 401, 885 (1999).
- [18] R. Krishnamurti and L. N. Howard, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 78, 1981 (1981); B. Liu and J. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 244501 (2008); J. Sommeria, J. Fluid Mech. 170, 139 (1986).